UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,074	12/03/2003	Robert W. Stadler	P-20485.00	4895
<sup>27581</sup> MEDTRONIC	7590 01/09/2008		EXAMINER	
710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE			ALTER, ALYSSA M	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55432-9924		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/727,074	STADLER ET ÁL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alyssa M. Alter	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (ate, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	February 2007.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,13,14,16-26,29,30,32-43,46,47 and 49-58</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10,13,14,16-26,29,30,32-43,46,4</u>	6) Claim(s) 1-10,13,14,16-26,29,30,32-43,46,47 and 49-58 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2003</u> is	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [ Other:	<u>_</u> ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/727,074

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 22, 2007 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10, 13-14, 16-26, 29-30, 32-43, 46-47 and 49-57 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by amendment.

# Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory

Art Unit: 3762

double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 1-10, 13-14, 16-26, 29-30, 32-43, 46-47 and 49-57 stand provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-58 of copending Application No. 10/727,008 (US Patent Application 20040172080 A1). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because intrathoracic electrical impedance is a physiological parameter.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3762

1. Claims 1-10, 13-14, 16-26, 29-30, 32-43, 46-47 and 49-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stadler et al. (US Patent Publication 20040172080 A1). Stadler et al. discloses "a method and apparatus for detection of changes in impedance a patient that includes generating measured impedances, generating an adaptive baseline trend of the measured impedances corresponding to a first time period, generating a short term trend of the measured impedances corresponding to a second time period less than the first time period, and generating a metric of impedance change between the adaptive baseline trend and one of a most recent measured impedance and the short term trend of the measured impedances"(Abstract). Furthermore, Stadler et al. discloses the employment of activity sensors, as well as sensors for measuring pressure (page 2, paragraph 31).

The applied reference has a common assignee and inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

2. Claims 1-10, 13-14, 16-26, 29-30, 32-43, 46-47 and 49-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hautala et al. (US Patent Publication 20010027266 A1). Hautala et al. discloses a heart rate measuring device. As Hautala et al. discloses on page 1, paragraph 9, "an anaerobic threshold value, i.e. the threshold

Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

value of heart rate, is found on the basis of changes in heart rate variation. Here heart rate variation means temporal variations in heart beats around the expected moments at which the heart should beat. In a preferred embodiment, the variation is calculated as moving standard deviation, but it, can also be calculated by another prior art mathematical method, e.g. by a method which utilizes the distribution function between the heart rate and the heart rate variation. As a function of heart rate, the heart rate variation naturally decreases as the heart rate, i.e. the heart beat frequency, increases. FIG. 1 illustrates variation as a function 100 of heart rate, i.e. the x axis 104 shows the heart rate as per cent of the maximum heart rate and the y axis 102 shows standard deviation as milliseconds around the expected moment at which the heart should beat. FIG. 1 illustrates dependency between the heart rate variation and the heart rate, which applies to the majority of people. When the heart rate level is e.g. 40% of the maximum heart rate, the heart rate variation is between 15 to 25 milliseconds. The maximum heart rate means the heart rate value that can be calculated e.g. by the formula (220-age), in which case the maximum heart rate of a 40-year old person is 180. The maximum heart rate can also be measured at the maximal workload or determined from the person's physiological properties using a neural network, for instance. It can be seen from FIG. 1 that as the heart rate level approaches the maximum heart rate, the heart rate variation decreases considerably". The examiner considers the moving standard deviation to be representative of the adaptive baseline trend.

Application/Control Number: 10/727,074

Art Unit: 3762

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alyssa M. Alter whose telephone number is (571) 272-4939. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alyssa M Alter Examiner Art Unit 3762

GEORGE R. EVANISKO

FOUND BY EXAMINED

BY ( / Y/ X

EVANISKO

AMINER